A Matching Multivalent Vaccine Candidate Combining Velogenic NDV Genotype VII and Variant IBV Protects Chicken from Virulent Challenge and Eliminates Virus Shedding
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Abstract | Vaccination is the main preventive measure against infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) and Newcastle Disease virus (NDV) infections. Vaccination can partially protect against IBV because of the continuous emergence of variant strains. The aim of the current study is to evaluate the efficacy of multivalent inactivated vaccine candidate combining two IBV lineages (M41; GI-lineage) and (VarII; GI-23 lineage) along with NDV (genotype VII) using Montanide ISA-71 oil adjuvant against circulating variant IBV and velogenic NDV field strains. Our results revealed that the prepared vaccine candidate was sterile, safe, and potent due to its ability to stimulate the production of specific antibodies against IBV and NDV starting from 1-week post vaccination (WPV). The maximum levels of specific IBV ELISA antibody titers (2926) were observed at the sixth week post vaccination. HI antibodies against IBV reached its peak 5th WPV (9.2 log2) while HI antibodies against NDV reached its peak 4 WPV (9.5 log2). Vaccinated chickens showed 100% protection against challenge with virulent NDV, and variant IBV with complete absence of clinical signs and postmortem lesions compared to challenged non-vaccinated groups. Our results revealed that the prepared vaccine candidate in this study is able to elicit good immune response, eliminate viral shedding, and provide complete protection against virulent challenge. Meanwhile, it is better to control both IBV and NDV outbreaks in a single shot consequently that will save time, effort and reduce stress during chicken vaccination.
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INTRODUCTION

Infectious bronchitis is an acute, highly contagious, viral disease of chickens, caused by infectious bronchitis virus (IBV). IBV is characterized primarily by respiratory signs in growing chickens, decreased egg quality and quantity in production hens. It can be nephro-pathogenic causing acute interstitial nephritis, urolithiasis, and mortality (Jackwood & de Wit, 2013). IBV belongs to subgenus Igavirinus, genus gammacoronavirus, subfamily Orthocoronavirinae of the Coronaviridae family (ICTV, 2020). The only practical mean to control IB is through vaccination (Cavanagh, 2003). IBV was reported in Egypt for the first time in 1950 by Ahmed, (1954). Recently, many studies were conducted for the isolation of IBV from vaccinated and non-vaccinated chickens suffering from respiratory and or renal signs (Rohaim et al., 2019, 2020). Most IBV variants in Egypt belongs to GI-23 lineage with a high relatedness to Israeli variant II (VarII) (Rohaim et al., 2016; Zanaty et al., 2016) to which current vaccination regimens are little effective (Abozeid et al., 2019).
Newcastle disease (ND) is a highly contagious viral disease affecting various species of birds at any age, caused by *Newcastle disease virus* (NDV). NDV causes significant economic losses to the poultry industry worldwide due to high mortality and morbidity (Alexander, 2017; Musa et al., 2010). NDV (*Avian orthoavulavirus 1*) is the type species of *orthoavulavirus* genus in the *avulavirinae* subfamily of family *paramyxoviridae* (Dimitrov et al., 2019). Although all NDV are members of one serotype, antigenic and genetic diversity was observed between the NDV different genotypes (Miller et al., 2013). Control of NDV around the world depends mainly on vaccination using oil inactivated and live vaccines (Alexander, 2017). Since the emergence of genotype VII in 2010-2011 in Egypt (Radwan et al., 2013) multiple outbreaks have been described in vaccinated poultry flocks (Saad et al., 2017; Tran et al., 2020).

Mixed infections of chickens with IB and NDV or Avian Influenza (H5Nx or H9N2) without effective vaccination program lead to high economic losses (Hassan et al., 2016). Likewise, the circulation of multiple IBV genotypes hinder the efforts to control the disease by immunization (Cavanagh et al., 1997).

According to the latest OIE list of notifiable diseases (OIE, 2020) both IBV and NDV infections are notifiable, IBV and NDV outbreaks could be controlled by vaccination. Commonly used vaccines including live and inactivated genotype II vaccines against ND (Fawzy et al., 2020), live Mass-type and D274 or 793B variants besides inactivated IB vaccines (Abozeid et al., 2019; Al-Ebshahy et al., 2019). The failure of these vaccines to stabilize to situation of IB and ND in commercial farms is simply attributed to genetic and antigenic differences between used vaccine antigens and circulating viruses.

In this study, an inactivated multivalent vaccine candidate that matches circulating IB and ND viruses is prepared and evaluated with the hope to reduce commercial farm losses and to fill the gap in commercially available vaccines if commercialized. The candidate efficacy is compared to monovalent preparations and commercial vaccine representatives.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**

All animal related procedures (breeding, handling, vaccination, and sampling) and experimental design were in accordance with VSVRI institutional instructions and guidelines for animal use in research.

**Viruses**

Velogenic NDV (KM288609.1 _NDV-B7-RLQP-CH-EG-12_) was kindly provided by Central Laboratory for Evaluation of Veterinary Biologics (CLEVB). Variant IBV (Var-II) (KP729422 IBV S1/VSIRL_G9/Egy 013) and M41 IBV were kindly provided by Department of Poultry Vaccines Production Unit, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Egypt. These viruses were used in the process of vaccines seed preparation as well as homologous challenge after vaccination.

**Candidate Vaccines Preparation**

Viruses were propagated in specific pathogen free (SPF) eggs according to the standard procedures (Principles of veterinary vaccine production, 2018). SPF eggs were obtained from Nile SPF Farm (Kom Oshiem, Fayom, Egypt). The harvested allantoic fluids were titrated (Reed and Müench, 1938). Formalin at final concentration of 0.1% was used for virus inactivation. Samples from each inactivated virus were tested for complete inactivation in 10- day-old SPF ECE’s for two successive passages. Inactivated antigen bulks were mixed with Montanide™ ISA71 VG (SEPPIC, Paris, France) mineral oil-based adjuvant to prepare the vaccine candidate water-in-oil (W/O) emulsion. Meanwhile, monovalent inactivated NDV genotype VII vaccine, bivalent inactivated IBV (M41+ VarII), and multivalent inactivated IBV (M41+ VarII and NDV genotype VII vaccine, were prepared and tested for sterility, and double-dose safety (Principles of veterinary vaccine production, 2018).

**Vaccination and Challenge Experiments**

Hundred 21-day old SPF chicks were used to evaluate the efficacy of the prepared vaccine candidates and to compare their efficacy to the commonly used commercial vaccine for NDV and IBV; inactivated Himmmvac Dalguban N (plus) (KBNP, Korea) and Nobilis IB multi+ ND vaccine (Merck, USA). Chicks were divided into 7 groups, groups 1, 2, 3, and 7 (n=10), while groups 4, 5, and 6 (n=20). Group vaccination, vaccines, and challenge data are illustrated in figure1. Groups 4, 5, and 6 were further divided into 2 subgroups at the challenge time, ten birds each, housed in separate isolators and challenged with respective viruses. Challenged chickens were observed for 2 weeks post challenge for any clinical signs or mortalities. Group 7 remained as a negative (non-vaccinated, non-challenged) control.

Protection percentage was evaluated based on the survival rate in case of NDV challenge and on ciliostasis score in case of IBV challenge (Pharmacopoeia, 2016). Briefly, half the chicks were euthanized on 7th day post challenge (DPC) by an intravenous injection of 0.2 ml of pentobarbital (200mg/ml). Tracheas were removed and examined.
for ciliary activity and the maximum possible ciliostasis score (40) is given if there was complete ciliostasis (total lack of protection). The lower the score, the higher the level of protection provided by that vaccination program. An individual chick was recorded as protected against challenge if the ciliostasis score was less than 20 (Avian, 2018; 2018; Pharmacopoeia, 2016).

**Figure 1:** SPF chicken groups vaccination and challenge details. Chicks were vaccinated and challenged 28 days after vaccination according to their vaccination. Groups 4, 5, and 6 were divided at the time of challenge into 2 groups (n=10 each), kept in separate isolators and challenged with NDV or IBV. Swabs were collected 3, 5, and 7DPC for viral shedding determination. For IBV vaccinated groups ciliostasis test was performed 7DPC

**SEROLOGICAL MONITORING**
Sera were collected after vaccination on weekly basis for 6 weeks post vaccination (WPV). Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay was used to evaluate NDV antibody response in vaccinated groups. IDEXX IBV Ab ELISA Test (IDEXX laboratories, USA), and HI (using Var II-based IBV HA antigen, national laboratory for quality control of poultry production (NLQP), animal health research institute (AHRI), Dokki, Giza, Egypt) were used to evaluate the IBV antibody response in vaccinated and challenged chickens.

**QUANTIFICATION OF VIRAL SHEDDING USING QRT-PCR**
Oropharyngeal swabs were collected from challenged chickens at 3-, 5- and 7-DPC and placed in 1 ml physiological saline with antibiotic-antifungal mixture to evaluate the virus shedding using qRT-PCR.

Viral RNA was extracted using Qiamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, GMBH) according to manufacturer instructions. Qunatitect probe RT-PCR kit (Qiagen, GMBH) was used to detect and relatively quantify the viral RNA in the collected swabs. Oligonucleotide primers and probes described previously were used to specifically detect the IBV and NDV RNAs (Meir et al., 2010; Wise et al., 2004). Swabs with higher CT values were considered to have lower virus load (low shedding) compared to challenged non vaccinated control birds while absence of amplification was considered as absence of shed virus (no shedding).

**STRICTICAL ANALYSIS**
One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to reveal whether the antibody titers differed significantly among the vaccinated chicken groups. A P-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant difference between groups.

**RESULTS**

**VACCINE STERILITY, AND SAFETY EVALUATION**
The prepared vaccine candidates formed homogenous white emulsions with the adjuvant where the emulsion viscosity never exceeded 35 Pa.s. when measured with DV1 Digital Viscometer (AMETEK, Brookfield USA) at ambient temperature. Physical criteria remained acceptable after 1month of candidate vaccines storage at 37°C.

Vaccine candidates were found to be sterile and free from bacterial or fungal contaminants following recommended incubations on nutrient agar, Thioglycolate broth, and Sabouraud's dextrose agar. Neither local nor systemic adverse effects nor reactions were noticed on chickens that received double doses of the prepared vaccine candidates to ensure their safety.

**SEROLOGICAL MONITORING AND ANTIBODY PROFILES**
Higher NDV antibody response was observed in chickens vaccinated with the prepared multivalent vaccine candidate (group 4). Group 4 immune response continued to increase and scored its peak (9.5±0.5 log2) HI titer by week 4 post vaccination, which was significantly higher than group 5 which was vaccinated by Nobilis IB Multi +ND (Merck, USA). However, on the sixth week post vaccination, all the vaccinated groups showed non-significant log2 HI titer differences (Figure 2a).

ELISA IBV antibody titers started to show evidence of vaccination 1 WPV. According to manufacturer, IDEXX IBV ELISA titers higher than 396 should be considered positive and indicative for vaccination or other exposure to IBV. Group 4 response was significantly higher 4 WPV and 5 WPV (Figure 2b). While in group 5, the IBV response was significantly higher 3 WPV. At 6 WPV, group 4 recorded the highest IBV ELISA titer (2926±93.5) which was significantly higher than group 5 but non-significantly higher than group 2 that received bivalent IBV (M41 +VarII) vaccine candidate (Figure 2b).
IBV HI titers showed non-significant difference between IBV vaccinated groups (Figure 2c). Group 2 showed the highest IBV HI titers for all weeks except 5 WPV whereas Group 4 recorded the highest titer. Vaccinated groups showed statistically significant difference compared to control challenged non vaccinated group starting from 2nd week post vaccination (Figure 2c).

**Figure 2:** Serological monitoring and ciliostasis for vaccinated and challenged chicken groups. A) NDV HI titers in vaccinated groups. Response was fast, started one-week post vaccination (wpv) and reached its peak 4wpv. B) IBV ELISA titers in IBV-vaccinated groups. Group IV antibodies were significantly higher than group V. The highest ELISA titer was recorded 6wpv in group IV sera. C) IBV HI titers, peak titers have been recorded 5wpv. Vaccinated groups differed significantly from challenged non-vaccinated group (group VI) but not from each other. D) IBV protection percentages based on ciliary protection; vaccinated groups scored ciliostasis scores around 11 where scores ≤ 20 are considered protective. Challenged non-vaccinated group ciliostasis score was 37 indicating lack of protection. Protection percentages in vaccinated groups was around 70.

**POST-CHALLENGE PROTECTION**

Following vNDV challenge, control challenged non-vaccinated chickens started to show depression and signs of disease 2nd day post-challenge (dpc). Mortalities started 3dpc and by day 6 dpc, all birds in the control challenged non vaccinated group were dead. Neither clinical signs nor mortalities were recorded in vaccinated groups with a 100% protection for all ND vaccinated groups. On the other hand, IB challenged non vaccinated control birds started to show disease signs and depression after challenge while vaccinated groups and control non-challenged group had no signs. Protection percentage was correlated to ciliary activity protection where group 5 showed the highest protection percentage of 70.3% compared to 69.18% in group 4 and 68.2% in group 2 (Figure 2d).

Vaccinated groups were able to significantly reduce the virus shedding compared to control challenged groups (Tables 1 and 2). In case of ND-vaccinated groups, group 1 and group 3 were able to prevent virus shedding by 5 dpc while group 4 and group 5 were able to prevent the virus shedding by 7 dpc (Table 1), while the IB-Vaccinated groups were able to prevent the virus shedding by 7 dpc (Table 2). Our results revealed that the prepared vaccine candidate achieved 100% protection from apparent clinical signs and effectively reduced/eliminated the virus shedding.

**DISCUSSION**

IBV is circulating worldwide causing continuous outbreaks in chickens aided by its high genetic diversity, having the longest RNA genome equipped by high recombination rate (Abdel-Moneim, 2017). Majority of the Egyptian variant IBV strains are belonging to GI-23 lineage; closely related to Israeli variant II (Valastro et al., 2016; Zanaty et al., 2016). Usage of live variant IBV vaccines into the commercial poultry farms along with classical live IBV vaccines has shown some success to control the IBV (J. J. De Wit et al., 2019; Sultan et al., 2019). However, the uncontrolled usage of live variant IBV vaccines in poultry vaccination regimes is a disaster that increase the IBV load and fastens its evolution (Toro et al., 2012). Similar protection could be achieved using inactivated classical and or variant IBV vaccines following live classical IBV vaccine prime. Inactivated vaccines are more expensive to produce but can induce longer and highly protective antibody responses without affecting the virus evolution (Brugh & Siegel, 1978).

Genotype VII of NDV first emerged in China, 2000 (Qin et al., 2008; Tsai et al., 2004) then spread worldwide. Since its introduction to Egypt during 2010-2011 (Radwan et al., 2013), it continued to cause outbreaks and huge economic losses in commercial poultry sectors despite mass vaccination (Hassan et al., 2016; Orabi et al., 2017). Intensive usage of NDV Genotype II live and inactivated vaccines has failed in stabilizing the NDV situation within the commercial farms (Moharam et al., 2019; Sedeik et al., 2019; Sultan et al., 2020). Majority of these commercial vaccines always prevent the clinical manifestations but they still unable either to prevent the disease signs or to effectively reduce the virus shedding (Fawzy et al., 2020). Due to inability to attenuate NDV genotype VII, it is impossible by traditional means to prepare an attenuated vaccine except by genetic engineering (Cho et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2009) while the inactivated vaccines are still available as a safer and highly protective options (Fawzy et al., 2020; Sultan et al., 2020).
Montanide™ range of water-in-oil adjuvants (Seppic, France) are based on light mineral oil combined with highly refined mannitol/oleic acid emulsifier for induction of humoral as well as cellular immune responses (Aucouturier et al., 2006). Montanide™ ISA 70 and ISA 71 have been used with multiple instances of success in poultry vaccines either experimentally or commercially (Ali et al., 2017; El-Jakee et al., 2020). Montanide™ ISA 71 is an improved mineral oil formula that preferentially stimulate Th1-type cell-mediated immunity and can induce similar IgG1 response but higher IgG2 response than ISA 70 (Dupuis et al., 2006). In a previous study, researchers proved that antibody response to Montanide™ ISA 71 adjuvanted vaccine reached its peak 8 weeks post vaccination and began to decrease gradually but remained above the protective level till 24 WPV (Ali et al., 2017). Control of NDV and IBV outbreaks mainly relies on biosecurity and vaccination (Mayahi et al., 2013). Likewise, proper diagnostic tools are essentially required to identify different IBV strains circulating in the field that will be helpful to select the suitable IBV seed virus for vaccine manufacture (J. J. De Wit et al., 2019; J. J. De Wit, 2000).

In this study, we prepared a vaccine candidate that contains classical Mass-type IBV (M41) and Variant IBV (VarII; circulating in Egyptian Poultry) (Ganapathy et al., 2015; Zanaty et al., 2016) along with a local isolate of velogenic NDV genotype VII to genetically match the field circulating IBV and NDV genotypes. The concept of genotype matched vaccine has aroused and proved its success after the failure of traditional vaccines to induce and maintain field satisfactory protection (Al-Ebshahy et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2017). In our study, the selection of Montanide™ ISA 71 oil adjuvant relied mainly on its superiority to ISA 70. The prepared multivalent and monovalent vaccine candidates were found to be physically acceptable, low viscosity and will save ease of application. Neither adverse reactions in chicken received double doses from the prepared vaccine candidates nor growth in the bacterial and fungal cultures ensuring the candidates safety and purity. Emulsions were found stable for 1 month at 37°C, which is equivalent to at least 1 year at 4°C.

Humeral immune response was evident against both IBV and NDV in vaccinated groups 1 WPV. The NDV antibodies correlate directly to the protection. Group 4 vac-

### Table 1: qRT-PCR Results of NDV shedding after challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenged groups</th>
<th>Days post challenge (DPC)</th>
<th>Protection percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ct</td>
<td>Sheddng quantity (EID 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>6.44×10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>1.74×10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>25.41</td>
<td>4.08×10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>26.42</td>
<td>4.98×10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>2.74×10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7</td>
<td>No Ct</td>
<td>No Ct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 1: monovalent vNDV vaccine  
Group 2: bivalent IBV (M41+ VarII) vaccine  
Group 3: Dalguban® N+  
Group 4: multivalent (NDV&IBV) vaccine  
Group 5: Nobilis IB multi+ ND®  
Group 6: challenged control  
Group 7: non-challenged control  
Ns: non-survival

### Table 2: qRT-PCR Results of IBV shedding after challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenged group</th>
<th>Days post challenge</th>
<th>Protection percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ct</td>
<td>Sheddng quantity (EID 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>31.95</td>
<td>6.45×10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>33.03</td>
<td>1.17×10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>7.96×10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group 2: bivalent IBV (M41+ VarII) vaccine  
Group 3: Dalguban® N+  
Group 4: multivalent (NDV&IBV) vaccine  
Group 5: Nobilis IB multi+ ND®  
Group 6: challenged control  
Group 7: non-challenged control
cinated with the prepared multivalent vaccine exceeded protection 2WPV (≥ 4log2) (Figure 2a) and its antibody level was significantly higher than group 5 vaccinated with commercial multivalent vaccines 3 WPV and 4 WPV. This rapid response is an extra advantage for Montanide™ ISA 71 oil adjuvant. Following vNDV challenge, all groups were completely protected from clinical disease and mortality. Contrarily, protection from IBV could not be correlated with the antibody levels or protection from mortality (Raggi & Lee, 1965). Antibodies against IBV were noticed 1 WPV, both ELISA and HI results were satisfactory and increased from week to week (Figures 2b and 2c). The prepared vaccine candidate induced significantly higher ELISA titers in group 4 at 4, 5, and 6 WPV while no significant difference was observed with IBV HI results. This may be attributed to the nature of IBV antigen used to perform HI (Var II-based antigen) and it could be assumed that the difference is induced by M41 antigen in the vaccine. Absence of ciliostasis is a better and more dependable measure for IBV post-challenge protection (Darbyshire, 1985) especially if combined with reduced viral shedding. Ciliostasis scores for IBV-vaccinated and challenged groups was in the range of 11 compared to 37 of the non-vaccinated challenge group (Figure 2d).

Reduction of viral shedding is a core parameter for vaccine efficacy. If a vaccine is able to reduce or eliminate viral shedding; it could reduce virus load in the farm environment leading to decreased circulation, disease rates, and virus evolution (Zhao et al., 2017). Vaccinated groups with NDV vaccines showed reduced virus shedding and were able to eliminate virus shedding by 5 dpc in monovalent inactivated NDV vaccinated groups (1 and 3), groups 4 and 5 eliminated shedding by 7 dpc and this might be attributed to the nature of combined vaccines that might decrease immune system response to each vaccine antigen. While IB vaccinated groups eliminated virus shedding by 7dpc. A previous study concluded that single dosage of monovalent variant IBV inactivated vaccine isn’t enough to induce protection or to reduce shedding (Al-Ebshahy et al., 2019). Our data proved that the prepared IB vaccine candidate that includes 2 different IBV antigens (classical and variant); is able to better stimulate the immune response in addition to the high efficacy of the oil adjuvant (Montanide™ ISA 71).

In conclusion, the prepared multivalent IB–ND vaccine candidate is considered as a locally prepared genotype matched vaccine candidate. Genotype matched viruses have highly similar genes that will express almost identical epitopes that would induce highly protective response and effectively reduce viral shedding. Montanide™ ISA 71 is a good oil adjuvant that can induce fast and protective response qualifying study vaccine to be used in all poultry production sectors. Multivalent vaccines always offer the advantages of lower labor and decreased stress to birds. Even though, the prepared vaccine was not superior to the commercial counterpart under experimental condition, it is expected to perform greater vaccine efficacy under field conditions within a well-designed poultry health program owing to its genetic similarity to currently circulating viral pathogens.
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