Comparative Analysis of Two Serodiagnostic Assays for Detection of Antibodies Against Peste- des- Petits Ruminants (PPR) from Non-Vaccinated Sheep and Goats
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**Abstract** | Peste des Petits ruminants (PPR) is an acute, extremely fatal and frequently transmissible viral disease of small ruminants. Passive haemagglutination (PHA) and cELISA were conducted for detection of PPR from sera samples at NARC Islamabad. A total number of sera samples n=597 were collected from non-vaccinated goats and sheep. In this study, the results of passive haemagglutination (PHA) were compared against competitive ELISA, as cELISA was considered as gold standard. So, 354 (59.1%) of sera samples were found positive by cELISA and 331 (55.3%) were found positive by PHA. However, both tests declared, 292 (48.8%) of sera samples were positive for PPRV antibodies. Kappa value (k=0.654) indicated perfect strong correlation between PHA and cELISA. It was observed that, the sensitivity and specificity of PHA was 82.4% and 84% respectively. In this study, we found that PHA is an economical and rapid serological assay for detection of PPRV antibodies.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) is an extremely transmissible and economically important disease of small ruminants caused by PPR virus that belongs to genus Morbillivirus and family Paramyxoviridae. Buffalo and cattle are sub clinically infected, a huge number of wild species within the order Artiodactyla also infected by PPR virus (Parida et al., 2015). PPR is endemic in large areas of Asia, the Middle East and Africa, and it is still spreading globally, with emergence particularly reported in Mongolia, China, Georgia and most recently within European union in Bulgaria (Parida et al., 2015; Altan et al., 2019).

Serologically PPRV consists of a single serotype, genetically it is divided into four distinct lineages (I-IV) (Kumar et al., 2014). The main hosts of PPR are goats and sheep, though disease in wild small ruminants and camel has also been investigated (Abubakar et al., 2011; Aguilarr et al., 2018; Mahapatra et al., 2015; Khalafalla et al., 2010). PPR virus transmission between animals such as sheep and goats can occur through aerosols, inhalation and direct contact with contaminated water, feed troughs, nasal and ocular discharges and faces. (Zhur et al., 2009).

PPR has been detected in Pakistan since 1991, previously on the basis of clinical signs and symptoms (Athar et al., 1995; Amjad et al., 1996) and later by using the advanced diagnostic methods like polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Enzyme Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA) (Khan et al., 2007; Abubakar et al., 2008; Munir et al., 2012). However definitive diagnosis always relies on
Sheep and goats are mostly reared in remote and rural areas of Pakistan and have a major role to cope up with meat and wool need of the country. Unfortunately, PPR is a fast spreading, lethal disease of mainly sheep and goats leading to the death of millions of domestic small ruminants. If the disease remains incurable it results in greater economic losses of poor farmers. Earlier possible diagnosis of PPR is crucial in implementing control measures.

PPRV and measles virus (MV) are unique among morbilliviruses that contain haemagglutination activity and tissue homogenate from PPR affected animal could agglutinate piglet red blood cells. (Wosu, 1985). So, the aim of this study is serological detection of PPR virus antibodies and to evaluate sensitivity and specificity of passive hemagglutination (PHA) comparative to the OIE recommended competitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA) (Libeau et al., 1995).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the Animal Health Research Laboratories at National Agriculture Research Center (NARC) Islamabad, Pakistan during September 2018 to June 2019.

SAMPLE COLLECTION

A total number of sera samples 597 were collected including sheep (n=247) and goats (n=350) from six villages of Mithi. These samples were donated by national veterinary laboratories, National agriculture research center Islamabad. These sera samples were collected randomly from animals showed symptoms, erosion in mouth, nasal and ocular discharges, foul-smelling diarrhea suggestive of PPR disease as well as from apparently healthy animals. Number of samples (597) were selected to validate the results of passive haemagglutination (PHA). Samples were collected from non-vaccinated animals of all ages.

Table 1: Comparative sensitivity and specificity of passive haemagglutination and competitive ELISA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>c-ELISA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>354</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLE PROCESSING

The blood was collected from jugular vein of animals. Then the blood was allowed to clot in cold boxes with ice packets. These samples were centrifuged and transferred into sterilized tubes that were placed on ice packets while transferring to the National Agricultural Research Center (NARC) Islamabad. Then these sera samples were kept at -20°C in sterilized 2ml cryovials till further analysis.

COMPETITIVE ELISA

The competitive ELISA kit was used manufactured by Innovative Diagnostics (ID. vet), CIRAD, France, for the detection of PPRV antibodies. This kit was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions, provided with the kit. The ELISA micro -plate was read with ELISA reader at 450nm filter (Libeau et al., 1995).

PASSIVE HAEMAGGLOTTINATION (PHA) TEST

This test was conducted to determine the antibodies against PPRV from serum samples according to the method designed by (Ishag et al., 2014).

PREPARATION OF SENSITIZED CHICKEN RED BLOOD CELLS (RBCs)

Briefly sensitization of chicken RBCs was done as described by (Ishag et al., 2014) with some modification. A mixture was prepared by using an equal volume of 3% formalin solution and 10% RBCs suspension. This prepared mixture was incubated overnight at 37°C after that the RBCs centrifuged for 15 minutes at 100g and washed 3 times with PBS to remove formalin. Then 5% RBCs suspension was prepared in PBS. An equal volume of 5% RBCs suspension was added to freshly prepared tannic acid at a concentration of 1; 20,000 prepared in PBS and this mixture was incubated for half an hour at 37°C. Tanned formalized RBCs were washed twice with PBS and treated directly by adding PPR antigen, incubated at room temperature for one hour and washed twice with PBS and again washed twice with PBS having 1% inactivated horse serum. In PBS 1% coated tanned RBCs were resuspended, stored at 4°C until used.

PROCEDURE

Briefly, two-fold serial dilution of sera samples were made in 30µl PBS with PH 6.9 in U bottomed micro titer plate, then suspension of 30µl of 1% sensitized RBCs were added. The plate was agitated to mix the reactants, incubated at 4°C and readings was taken after 4 hours. The formation of thin uniform layer of erythrocytes on bottom of the wells considered as positive agglutination pattern. However, the formation of tear or round button of erythrocytes considered as negative pattern.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The overall agreement between the results of PHA and cELISA was determined using Kappa statistics (Dohoo, 2009). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of sensitivity was plotted against 1-specificity and area under...
RESULTS

Out of the results of 597 tested sera samples, both tests agreed on the status of 497 samples; 292 were positive by both tests and 204 were negative by both tests. However, both tests showed a strong agreement; of 331 samples that were positive by PHA, while 292 were positive by cELISA. Similarly, PHA declared 266 samples as negative; of these 266, only 204 were negative by cELISA. The overall agreement between c-ELISA and PHA was 83.08% (292+204/597) However, it was observed that the sensitivity and specificity of PHA was 82.4%(292/354) and 84%(204/243) respectively. Kappa value indicated (k=0.654) perfect strong correlation between PHA and cELISA. These findings suggest a strong sensitivity and specificity of PHA for detection of PPR disease as is evident by the area (0.9716) under ROC curve (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

PPR is the major disease of small ruminants that has great economic impact on poor farmers and their livelihoods (Zahur et al., 2011). Numerous molecular and serological laboratory tests are in use for the diagnosis of PPRV, as immunocapture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Ic-ELISA), competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay(cELISA), agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID), isolation on cell culture, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and haemagglutination inhibition (Khan et al., 2007). Some of these tests are expensive and they cannot perform in less equipped laboratories of rural areas. Haemagglutination (HA) is an inexpensive and simple test and has been used for the detection of PPR (Ezeibe et al., 2004; Wosu, 1985).

So, it was concluded that passive haemagglutination
(PHA) and competitive ELISA are able to detect PPRV antibodies from sera samples. Competitive ELISA is a rapid, sensitive and specific assay to detect PPRV antibodies in sera samples, but it is very expensive and not available at small laboratories of Pakistan. PHA is an economical and easy to perform and provide results within a few hours that does not need expensive kits like cELISA. The result of this study suggests that passive hemagglutination can be used as a suitable diagnostic test for detection of PPR disease.
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