Dairy farmers introduce highly productive animals (exotic breeds), but the environmental condition of the area (Pakistan) cannot allow them to attain their peak production that limit the farmers dream on their feasibility report (Rashid et al., 2018). Intestinal parasites are the major constraints for economic losses in productive animals at dairy farms. They cause anorexia, anemia, retarded growth, weight loss, delayed sexual maturity, low productivity (milk and meat) and increased susceptibility of animals to other infections (Yadav et al., 2004). Gastrointestinal parasitic problem is the worldwide (Regassa et al., 2006). Ruminal gastrointestinal nematodes of cattle includes, _Trichuris, Haemonchus, Oesophagostomum, Bunostomum, Ostertagia, Trichostrongylus, Cooperia, Capillaria_ (Hosking et al., 2008). The reported prevalence of helminths in Pakistan ranges from 33.68-51% (Khan et al., 2010). The use of broad spectrum anthelmintic of benzimidazole (albendazole) group was evaluated its effect on milk production, body condition score and eggs per gram (EPG). Reported albendazole efficacy against _Ostertagia ostertagi_, adult, DL4 and EL4 is 99.00, 95.50 and 84.90%, respectively.

The current study was performed on a Holstein Friesian cattle with the history of decrease production, diarrhea and weight loss with anorexia. The studied animal was purchased from local market. Fecal samples from normal and infected animals were taken for the diagnosis of anthelmintic, its efficacy and effect on milk production and body condition score for a period of one month. The normal animal was dewormed with albendazole one month before the study to establish anthelmintic resistance. After deworming, the normal animal was not given any additional anthelmintic. The infected animal was given albendazole one month before the study. The infected animal was then dewormed with albendazole one month before each treatment period. The EPG reduction was highly significant (<0.05), increase in milk production, weight gain and body condition score were not significant (>0.05).
Table 1: Comparison of egg per gram (EPG), milk production, body condition score (BCS) and weight gain in control and infected cattle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>EPG Control (Kg)</th>
<th>Increment %</th>
<th>Infected (Kg)</th>
<th>Reduction %</th>
<th>Milk (Kg) Control</th>
<th>Infected</th>
<th>BCS (grades) Control</th>
<th>Infected</th>
<th>Weight gain (Kg) Control</th>
<th>Infected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>580.00</td>
<td>505.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>577.00</td>
<td>507.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>583.00</td>
<td>509.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>580.00</td>
<td>512.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>581.00</td>
<td>515.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data was analyzed by Chi-square using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York). Probability level of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The anthelmintic drug efficacy and its effect on milk production, BCS and weight gain are shown in Table 1. Anthelmintic efficacy was 93.33% closely related to already reported one (Saqib Ali et al., 2017). The highest efficacy of anthelmintic was observed on 14th day post-medication due to its absorption and complete elimination of rumen flora having parasitic eggs. Its efficacy from current study was not 100% as suspected, indicate resistance of parasites against anthelmintic drug used that is already reported by (Williams and Broussard, 1995). This anthelmintic resistance might be due to frequently usage of same drug, under dose or low quality. That is why it is recommended the usage of alternate or simultaneously two different class anthelmintic drug (Ramos et al., 2016) for complete elimination of parasites. Upon elimination of parasites, the infected animal increased milk production, live weight and BCS of 0.75 and 10.0 kg, and 0.5 grades, respectively at day 28 compared to day 0. This study closely relate with the reported one who also calculate 0.42 lit./animal/day increments upon anthelmintic treatment (Nødtvedt et al., 2002). The weight gain was not according to standard, like in beef cattle due to their different genetic profile and current life stage of animal. If this study will be proceed to the herd, area or country wide then this production increment post-medication might be beneficial for farmers and country GDP value. Body condition score upon anthelmintic drug treatment was not much improved due to less study duration as increase or loose of live body weight is time taking, while rough hair coat changes to smooth and shiny. So, it is suggested that government should held regular deworming campaigns in local areas and should held camps in animal sale markets. Mostly people bring unhealthy animals to market for sale.

It is concluded that anthelmintic has significant effect on worms load reduction and production increment, while use of same drug for a long duration creates drug resistance.
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