Prevalence of Subclinical Mastitis in Dairy Goats Caused by Bacterial Species
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INTRODUCTION

Goat (Capra hircus) is a versatile animal and known as poor man’s cow in Pakistan. The goats are main source of meat and dairy products (Haenlein, 2004). Of all the domestic animals, the goat undoubtedly has the greatest range of adaptations. Goat thrives well on poor agricultural lands, where they browse on incidental vegetation. In arid areas where vegetation is scant and is too steep for cultivation, goats roaming more widely than other herbivores and meet their requirements from browsing on weeds neglected by others, they has higher tolerance to feed deficient in crude protein and certain minerals. Goat milk is cheap, wholesome, easily digestible and nutritious (Panicker et al., 2015). It is recommended for use in dyspepsia, peptic ulcer and pyloric stenosis. Therapeutic potential of goat milk for liver dysfunction, jaundice, biliary disorders, acidosis and insomnia have also been reported (Miranda et al., 2010).

Mastitis is an infection of udder tissue that leads clinical to subclinical inflammation and transitory to permanent blocking of milk ducts. Fungi, yeast, virus and bacteria can...
cause this udder infection. Milk quality is mainly affected by infection of the mammary gland which causes mastitis (Boscos et al., 1996). Subclinical mastitis is the inflammation of udder that cannot be detected by clinical methods such as inspection, palpation and or ano-geptive examination (Contreras et al., 2007). The subclinical mastitis has also adverse effects on the hygienic quality and physicochemical properties of milk. The subclinical mastitis is characterized by having no visible signs either in the udder or in the milk, but the milk production decreases. The real diagnosis of subclinical mastitis is based on somatic cell count and by bacteriological culturing of milk. Physiologically, goat milk contains a larger number of somatic cells as compared to cows and sheep. Milk from normal quarters generally ranges of 600,000 - 800,000 somatic cells per milliliter (Monsang et al., 2014; Paape et al., 2001). The bacterial pathogens such as Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactiae and other environmental pathogens have been reported as the cause of mastitis and subclinical mastitis in dairy goats (Virdis et al., 2010). Byeng et al. (2007) reported the Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and coagulase negative Staphylococcus as the most prevalent pathogens in subclinical mastitis of goats. It has been estimated that subclinical mastitis is more prevalent in goats as compared to cattle. Moreover, difference in causative agents responsible for subclinical mastitis in different animal species have also been reported (Paape and Capuco, 2001).

Keeping in view the above facts, the present study was therefore designed to investigate the prevalence and incidence of subclinical mastitis caused by bacterial agents in dairy goats in surroundings of Tandojam, Hyderabad. It will be helpful to formulate the effective control and preventive strategies for subclinical mastitis in dairy goats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLE COLLECTION

For present study, quarter milk samples (n= 200) were collected from dairy goats from surroundings of Tandojam, Hyderabad to analyse the subclinical mastitis caused by bacterial species. Samples were collected according to standard procedure described by National Mastitis Council, USA (Oliver et al., 2004). Only those animals were included in the study that not treated with any kind of antimicrobial agent in last 120 hours. Prior to sample collection, teats were cleaned thoroughly with a disposable paper towel and then dipped with a disinfectant. Teat ends were sterilized with a cotton swabs moistened with 70% isopropyl alcohol. After discarding the first few streams, about 10 mL milk was collected in sterilized sample bottles. Immediately after collection, samples were cooled and transferred to the laboratory on ice, where stored at −20°C until analysed.

CALIFORNIA MASTITIS TEST (CMT)

Caprine subclinical mastitis was analyzed by the California mastitis test (CMT) using 10% Teepol (Leucocytest®, Synbiotics Corporation, Paris). The CMT was done as per the instructions of manufacturer. In brief, 2 mL milk was stripped into each well of the test plate from individual teats and an equal amount of test reagent (10% Teepol) was added to the milk. The plate was stirred for 10 seconds to mix the test reagent with milk. The plate was kept at room temperature for 30 seconds, then any visible change (clot) was noted in the wells.

BACTERIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Milk samples were examined using conventional culture technique as described previously (Khan et al., 2015). Briefly, 10 µL milk sample was plated onto nutrient agar and blood agar that was supplemented with 5% defibrinated horse blood (Difco, Michigan, USA) Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and observed for bacterial growth. On primary culture media, bacteria were identified tentatively on the basis of colony morphological features, hemolytic properties on blood agar and catalase test. Isolates identified presumptively as Staphylococci were confirmed according to procedure described earlier (Nazia et al., 2015), whereas other bacterial isolates were confirmed according to method of Gillespie and Oliver (2005).

DATA ANALYSIS

The results were analysed by using Microsoft Office Excel 2012, and were presented in percentages that calculated by dividing the number of samples positive for sub-clinical mastitis with total number of samples analysed x100.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PREVALENCE OF SUBCLINICAL MASTITIS IN DAIRY GOATS ANALYSED BY CMT

In present study, CMT was used to analyse the subclinical mastitis in dairy goats in surroundings of Tandojam. The overall number and percentage prevalence of subclinical mastitis recorded by CMT was presented in Figure 1. Of 200-quarter samples, 76 (38%) were found positive,
while 124 (62%) were recorded as negative. In right quarters, 40 samples were found with subclinical mastitis and the prevalence was observed as 52.63%. While in the left quarters, 36 samples were found with subclinical mastitis and the prevalence was calculated in the left halves as 47.36% (Figure 2). These results are in agreement with the results of Islam et al. (2012), those declared a 36% prevalence of subclinical mastitis in goats in Dhaka using CMT test. These results are also in close agreement with another study that reported a 35.5% prevalence of subclinical mastitis by CMT in goats at parturition (McDougall et al., 2002).

Our present results regarding the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy goats were also similar to that of Manser (2000) who examined 170 milk samples from five herds of goats and declared 85 goats with subclinical mastitis. The prevalence of subclinical mastitis in different herds was recorded between 15–79%. Sarker and Samad (2011) recorded the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in black Bengal goats and reported a significantly higher incidence in left udder halves (79.66%) as compared to the right udder halves (20.34%). Whereas in present study the prevalence was recorded higher (52.63%) in right quarters as compared to left side quarters (47.36%). This inconsistency in results could be due to the breed differences (Mangi et al., 2015), as in present study dairy goats of different breeds were examined for prevalence of subclinical mastitis.

### Table 1: Incidence of individual bacterial species in subclinical mastitis milk samples of dairy goats recovered through culture technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial species</th>
<th>Occurrence in Right Quarters No. (%)</th>
<th>Occurrence in Left Quarters No. (%)</th>
<th>Total occurrence No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>15 (19.74)</td>
<td>13 (17.11)</td>
<td>28 (36.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus cereus</em></td>
<td>3 (3.95)</td>
<td>5 (6.58)</td>
<td>8 (10.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Citrobacter</em> species</td>
<td>4 (5.26)</td>
<td>1 (1.32)</td>
<td>5 (6.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>1 (1.32)</td>
<td>3 (3.95)</td>
<td>4 (5.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Micrococcus luteus</em></td>
<td>3 (3.95)</td>
<td>1 (1.32)</td>
<td>4 (5.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus vulgaris</em></td>
<td>4 (5.26)</td>
<td>3 (3.95)</td>
<td>7 (9.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em></td>
<td>3 (3.95)</td>
<td>1 (1.32)</td>
<td>4 (5.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus agalactiae</em></td>
<td>2 (2.63)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>2 (2.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em></td>
<td>8 (10.53)</td>
<td>6 (7.89)</td>
<td>14 (18.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>43 (56.58)</td>
<td>33 (43.42)</td>
<td>76 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Batavani et al. (2003) examined periodic incidence of individual bacterial species in subclinical mastitis milk samples of goats. The most common bacterial isolates found from subclinical mastitis cases were coagulase negative *Staphylococci* (41%), *Bacillus cereus* (33%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (22%) and *Streptococcus* spp. (4%). Similarly, Zamin et al. (2010) recorded the higher incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk samples, while Manser (2000) recorded...
the incidence of coagulase-negative *Staphylococi* (80%), coagulate-positive *Staphylococi* (16%), alpha-haemolytica *Streptococi* (2%) and *Pasteurella haemolytica* (2%). Sarker and Samad (2011) isolated major bacterial pathogens from subclinical mastitis of goats. The bacterial pathogens isolated were: *Staphylococcus* spp., followed by *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus* spp. and their incidence was recorded as: 38.98, 27.12 and 10.17%, respectively. The results regarding percentage incidence of each bacterial species in subclinical mastitis of goats recorded in this study were similar to the findings of the above workers. The higher incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk samples of subclinical mastitis of goats recorded in this survey was also demonstrated by above workers. *Escherichia coli* are known as the most common environmental contaminants because these are found as a normal flora in the intestine of almost all farm animals (Ansari et al., 2014; Begum et al., 2014). From above workers, Sarker and Samad (2011) reported the incidence of *Escherichia coli* as a second most in subclinical mastitis milk samples, however in the present study we observed the *Bacillus subtilis* as second most prevalent organism. These variations might be due to differences in geographical location and/or management conditions at the farm (Abel et al., 2014).

The most common bacterial pathogen detected in both udder halves of the goat was *Staphylococcus aureus* and its incidence was noted 15 and 13 in the right and left quarters respectively. In consistent to our study, some other workers also reported the *Staphylococcus aureus* as a most common etiological agent in subclinical mastitis milk samples of both udder halves (Tyagi et al., 2013; Zamin et al., 2010).

**CONCLUSIONS**

From the present study, it was concluded that the subclinical mastitis in dairy goats caused by bacterial organisms is very high (38%) in the area. *Staphylococcus aureus* was found as the most dominant species that could be associated with other bacterial species that caused infection in the udders of goats. The higher incidence of bacterial species was recorded in the right quarters in subclinical mastitis as compared to left side quarters. Present results suggested that improved sanitation at caprine farming and regular screening of animals should be carried out for early detection and management of subclinical mastitis in goats.
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