## **Research Article**



## Some of the Body Measurements and their Role as Predictors of Final Weight Using all Possible Regressions Procedure in Commercial Broilers

### AHMED M. AL-NEDAWI\*

Department of Animal Production, College of Agricultural Engineering Science, University of Baghdad, Iraq.

**Abstract** | The profitability of any chicken industry related to increasing the production. Therefore, most of the breeders are looking for some traits that can measure in early age to select the best birds. However, several studies were conducted on ruminates, but there are few studies in the broiler. Therefore, the current study aimed to investigate the effect of sex on some of body measurements (body length (BL), shear bone length (SBL), body circumstance (BC), chest width (CW), leg length (LL), and thigh circumstance (TC) along with the estimation of the correlation coefficients among these measurements and body weight to evaluate the body measurements as predictors of final body weight using all possible regression procedure. A total of 60 chicks Ross 308 broilers (30 male and 30 female) were used. Results indicated that the effect of sex was not significant on all body measurements at the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> week except the body circumstance (BC) at the 2<sup>nd</sup> week. Whereas, the effect of age was significant (P < 0.05) on the SBL, BC, CW at age of 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> week. All of the correlation coefficients among body measurements were significant (P < 0.05). The R<sup>2</sup> estimated by all possible regressions procedure to predict the final weight showed that The R<sup>2</sup> increased with increasing the number of predictors and with advanced age and ranged 0.24-0.51, 0.41-0,76, and 0.63-0.89 at 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> weeks respectively. The results of the present study showed that the correlations between the body measurements and body weight are significant.

Keywords | Ross 308, Body measurements, All possible regressions procedure, Correlation, Body weight.

Editor | Kuldeep Dhama, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Received | October 19, 2018; Accepted | December 02, 2018; Published | December 29, 2018

\*Correspondence | Ahmed M Al-Nedawi, Department of Animal Production, College of Agricultural Engineering Science, University of Baghdad, Iraq; Email: alnedawiahmed@yahoo.com

Citation | Al-Nedawi AM (2019). Some of the body measurements and their role as predictors of final weight using all possible regressions procedure in commercial broilers. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 7(3): 181-186.

DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2019/7.3.181.186

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; ISSN (Print) | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2019 Al-Nedawi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## INTRODUCTION

The profitability of poultry industry depends on the fast growth rate during the shortest period to decrease the cost of consumed diet and the risk of breeding along with increasing the production to meet the increasing demands of low price and high quality of meat (Prince, 2002). The breeders always are looking for tools that assist them to select the posterior birds. Several methods were adopted to accomplish this aim such as: identify the better function that fit the growth curve in broiler to determine the optimal period of breeding (Al-Samarai et al., 2015; Al-Nedawi, 2018), selection of the chicks according to their weight at first day as this trait effects the performance of broilers through later stages of age (Morris et al., 1968; Al-Murra-

March 2019 | Volume 7 | Issue 3 | Page 181

ni, 1978) and prediction of the total egg production from partial or cumulative egg production during one year after onset laying (Al-Samarai et al., 2008). Moreover, some studies performed to evaluate the relationship between body measurements and live weight in early age with the final weight of birds as a tool for selection (Emmerson, 1997; Ige et al., 2007; Ajayi and Ejiofor, 2009). However, there is another application of body measurements as these measurements could be used to estimate the body weight and called an indirect method when then the direct method (weighting the animal) a scale is not available (Latshaw and Bishop, 2001). The SBL is commonly used to estimate the body weight in the broiler. The linear relationship between SBL and body weight has been recorded many years ago (Lerner, 1937). Latshaw and Bishop, (2001) reported

## OPEN OACCESS

that the body measurements are accurate predictors of the BW in broilers that weighed between 1.2 and 2.3 kg. The same authors found that the multiple regression of Pelvis width, BC, CW to predict the BW had an  $R^2 = 0.67$ . Ajayi et al. (2008) estimated the BW from BC, LL, and TC in Ross and Anak Titan broilers and found that the BW was better predicted by each of these measurements. This study was conducted to investigate the effect of sex on somebody measurements along with an estimation of the correlation coefficients among these measurements and to evaluate the body measurements as predictors of final body weight using all possible regression procedure.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **EXPERIMENTAL BIRDS**

The present study was conducted in the poultry farm, College of Agricultural Engineering Science, University of Baghdad during the period from 15/10 to 27/11/2017. A total of 60 chicks (Ross 308) (30 female and 30 males) purchased from a commercial hatchery were used. The birds were wing tagged at day-old. Birds were bred in a floor pen. The light is provided for 22 h per day. All birds were subjected to a vaccine against Newcastle disease and infectious bronchitis on the 10<sup>th</sup>day of age and against Gambaro disease at 17 days of age. The ingredient and the nutrient composition of the basal diet given to the birds are as mentioned by A1-Nedawi (2018). The drinking water and feed were supplied ad libitum. Birds were weighed at one day old and each week for 5 weeks.

#### **DATA COLLECTION**

The body weight and body measurements used in this study included body weight in day-old and the weekly body weight till the 5<sup>th</sup> week, body length (BL), shear bone length (SBL), body circumstance (BC), chest width (CW), leg length (LL), and thigh circumstance (TC). All body measurements were performed using a tape rule in centimeter (cm).

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data were subjected to analysis using SAS (2010) and significant differences between means were assessed using independent t-test. Pearson's correlation coefficients were estimated among studied traits. All possible regressions procedure was applied and the results of simple and multiple regressions presented as coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) to evaluate the validity of measurements as predictors to final weight.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

EFFECT OF SEX ON SOME BODY MEASUREMENTS The results of the effect of sex on body measurements were March 2019 | Volume 7 | Issue 3 | Page 182

#### Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

significant (P < 0.05) only on the BC in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> weeks (Table 1, 2) whereas in the  $3^{rd}$  week of age the differences were significant (P<0.05) in the SBL, BC, and, CW (Table 3). The significant differences in the body measurements due to sex increased to four traits in the 4<sup>th</sup> week of age (Table 4) and five in the  $5^{th}$  week of age (Table 5). The males are superior the females in most of the body measurements. Similar results were obtained by Adedibu and Ayorinde (2011) who stated that" sex influenced the body measurement (wing length, thigh length, drumstick length, shank length, body length, body girth and keel length) in the Arbor Acre and Anak broilers". Also, Olawumi, (2015) reported that there was a significant (P < 0.05) effect of the house x sex interaction on wing length, TC, and BW in quail birds. On the other hand, Ojo et al. (2014) showed that the effect of sex was significant only on BC at 6<sup>th</sup> week while the effect was not significant on SBL and TC at age of 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> weeks in Japanese quail. The differences in body measurements could be attributed to the differences in the quantity of bone tissue as the females have a smaller bone tissue of the tibiotarsus as compared with males (Rose et al., 1996).

# THE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS AMONG BODY MEASUREMENTS

In all five weeks of age, the correlation coefficients among body measurements were significant (P < 0.05). Also, the correlations between body measurements and body weight at each week were significant (P < 0.05) (Table 6, 7, 8, 9, 10). These results confirmed the association between body weight and body measurements. The results of the present study agreed with results obtained by Ige et al. (2016) who found that the correlation between body measurements and body weight ranged from 0.72 to 0.93 in Hubbard and 0.86 to 0.98in Arbor acre. Also, the results of the current study are in the line of the results obtained by Tyasi et al. (2017) who used the path analysis and showed that the SBL and BL have a higher direct effect on body weight in the indigenous Chinese Dagu chickens.

## THE CORRELATIONS BETWEEN BODY MEASUREMENTS AND FINAL WEIGHT

However, the correlations between body measurements and final weight were not significant in the 1<sup>st</sup>week, the correlation was significant (P<0.05) between final weight and BL in the 2<sup>nd</sup> week. The results of the 3<sup>rd</sup> week showed that all correlation coefficients between final weight and body measurements were significant (P<0.05). All possible regressions procedure showed the simple and multiple regressions using body measurements and body weight at 1<sup>st</sup> week of age were not useful and the values of R<sup>2</sup> were low (0.14-0.21) (Table 11). Concerning the body measurements and body weight at 2<sup>nd</sup> week of age the results revealed that the R<sup>2</sup> values were low and ranged (0.21-0.31)

NEXUS

OPENOACCES	5	Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences						
Table 1: Some body measurements at 1 <sup>st</sup> week according to sex in the Ross 308 broilers.								
??	BL	SBL1	BC1	CW	LL	TC		

??	BL	SBL1	BC1	CW	LL	TC
Male	20.25±0.30	$5.56 \pm 0.14$	13.08±0.13	$10.65 \pm 0.21$	6.08±0.08	7.37±0.22
Female	19.84±0.25	5.40±0.15	12.86±0.08	10.60±0.17	5.72±0.12	7.00±0.21
Р	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
NS= not significant						

\*=P<0.05

Table 2: Some body measurements at 2<sup>nd</sup>week according to sex in the Ross 308 broilers.

??	BL	SBL	BC	CW	LL	TC
Male	30.31±0.32	10.08±0.24	21.14±0.23	14.54±0.11	7.17±0.17	8.25±0.16
Female	30.36±0.28	9.95±0.23	20.34±0.16	14.50±0.09	6.86±0.15	8.13±0.15
Р	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
NS= not significant						

\*=P<0.05

**Table 3:** Some body measurements at 3<sup>rd</sup> week according to sex in the Ross 308 broilers.

??	BL	SBL	BC	CW	LL	ТС
Male	40.13±0.20	12.30±0.14	31.05±0.23	16.47±0.15	22.88±0.14	12.02±0.20
Female	39.72±0.20	11.75±0.15	30.44±0.18	15.75±0.11	22.72±0.21	11.61±0.18
Р	NS	**	*	**	NS	NS
NS= not significant *=P<0.05						

\*\*=P<0.01

Table 4: Some body measurements at 4<sup>th</sup> week according to sex in the Ross 308 broilers

rubie in some body measurem	<b>Tuble 1.</b> Some body measurements at 1 week according to sex in the Ross bob biomens									
??	BL	SBL	BC	CW	LL	TC				
Male	45.75±0.37	13.69±0.14	36.63±0.38	20.97±0.17	22.98±0.18	15.88±0.21				
Female	44.19±1.28	13.02±0.17	34.63±0.44	20.19±0.23	22.84±0.20	15.25±0.20				
Р	NS	**	**	***	NS	*				
NS= not significant *=P<0.05										

<sup>\*\*=</sup>P<0.01

Table 5: Some body measurements at 5<sup>th</sup> week according to sex in the Ross 308 broilers

Table 5. Some body measurem	icitio at 5 w	lek according to	J SEX III LITE ICO	55 500 biolici	3	
??	BL	SBL	BC	CW	LL	ТС
Male	50.11±0.37	15.80±0.14	51.83±0.44	22.44±0.17	24.47±0.18	16.11±0.21
Female	49.78±1.28	14.88±0.17	42.88±0.38	21.50±0.23	22.63±0.20	15.16±0.20
Р	NS	**	**	**	**	*
NS= not significant						
*=P<0.05						
**=P<0.01						

Table 6: Correlation coefficients among some body measurement at 1<sup>st</sup> week in the Ross 308 broilers.

	SBL	BC	CW	LL	ТС	W1	W5
BL	0.52*	0.35*	0.34**	0.43**	0.45**	0.33*	0.13
SBL		0.44*	0.42**	0.45*	0.44**	0.44*	0.07
BC			0.38*	0.34*	0.41*	0.32*	0.11
CW				0.27	0.37*	0.35*	0.05
LL					0.94**	0.36*	0.09
TC						0.34*	0.08

OPEN	9	AC	C	E	S	S
	-		-	_	_	_

W1 \*=P<0.05

\*\*=P<0.01

 Table 7: Correlation coefficients among some body measurement at 2<sup>nd</sup> week in the male Ross 308 broilers

	SBL	BC	CW	LL	ТС	W2	W5
BL	0.39*	0.42*	0.37*	0.28*	0.31*	0.35*	0.32*
SBL		0.30*	0.38*	0.70**	0.81**	0.33*	0.14
BC			0.41	0.34*	0.44*	0.28*	0.17
CW				0.47**	0.36*	0.37*	0.16
LL					0.90**	0.23*	0.11
TC						0.23*	0.10
W2							0.46**
*=P<0.05							

\*\*=P<0.01

Table 8: Correlation coefficient among some body measurement at 3<sup>rd</sup> week in the Ross 308 broilers

	SBL	BC	CW	LL	ТС	W3	W5
BL	0.44*	0.16	0.39*	0.68**	0.57**	0.24*	0.29*
SBL		0.46**	0.57**	0.36*	0.50**	0.30*	0.30*
BC			0.31*	0.49**	0.61**	0.29*	0.36*
CW				0.57**	0.44**	0.30*	0.31*
LL					0.41*	0.39*	0.28*
TC						0.42*	0.39*
W3							0.57**
*=P<0.05							

\*\*=P<0.01

Table 9: Correlation coefficient among some body measurement at 4<sup>rd</sup> week in the Ross 308 broilers

	SBL	BC	CW	LL	TC	W4	W5
BL	0.47**	0.34*	0.46**	0.41*	0.41*	0.38*	0.38*
SBL		0.36*	0.39*	0.57**	0.33*	0.37*	0.45**
BC			0.36*	0.39*	0.32*	0.62**	0.56**
CW				0.66**	0.35*	0.36*	0.39*
LL					0.33*	0.54**	0.43*
TC						0.48**	0.47**
W4							0.74**
*=P<0.05							

\*\*=P<0.01

Table 10: Correlation coefficient among some body measurement at 5<sup>rd</sup> week in the Ross 308 broilers

	SBL	BC	CW	LL	TC	W3
BL	0.46*	0.41*	0.60**	0.41*	0.65**	0.37*
SBL		0.54**	0.45*	0.55**	0.34*	0.35*
BC			0.57**	0.58**	0.52**	0.58**
Chest W				0.55**	0.39*	0.44**
LL					0.48**	0.72**
ТС						0.81**
*=P<0.05						
**=P<0.01						





#### **Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences**

**Table 11:** Some criteria to evaluate the validity of all possible regressions procedure according to body measurements at 1<sup>st</sup> week.

No. of variables	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	The variable
1	0.14	0.23	W1
2	0.17	0.24	BL1 W1
3	0.20	0.26	BL1 SBL1 W1
4	0.21	0.26	BL1 SBL1 LL1 W1
5	0.21	0.27	BL1 SBL1 LL1 BC1 W1
6	0.21	0.27	BL1 SBL1 LL1 BC1 TC1 W1
7	0.21	0.27	BL1 SBL1 BC1 CW1 LL1 TC1 W1

Table 12: Some criteria to evaluate the validity of	all possible regressions procedure according to body measurements
at $2^{nd}$ week.	

No. of variables	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	The variable
1	0.21	0.19	W2
2	0.28	0.26	BC2 W2
3	0.29	0.27	SBL2 BC2 W2
4	0.30	0.29	SBL2 LL2 BC2 W2
5	0.31	0.29	SBL2 BC2 TC2 LL2 W2
6	0.31	0.29	SBL2 BC2 CW2 LL2 TC2 W3
7	0.31	0.29	BL2 SBL2 BC2 CW2 LL2 TC2 W2

Table 13: Some criteria to evaluate the validity of	all possible regressions procedure according to body measurements
at 3 <sup>rd</sup> week.	

No. of variables	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	The variable
1	0.33	0.32	W3
2	0.36	0.34	BL3 W3
3	0.39	0.37	BL3 BC3 W3
4	0.41	0.39	BL3 LL3 CW3 W3
5	0.42	0.40	BL3 BC3 CW3 LL3 W3
6	0.42	0.40	BL3 SBL3 BC3 CW3 LL3 W3
7	0.42	0.40	BL3 SBL3 BC3 CW3 LL3 TC3 W3

(Table 12). The values of  $R^2$  at the  $3^{rd}$  week of age were the highest and ranged 0.33-0.42 (Table 13). These results are expected because of the prediction of final weight will be more accurate along with advanced age of the bird.

## CONCLUSION

The results of the present study showed that the correlations between the body measurements and body weight are significant. In other words, the body measurements could be used for the prediction of the body weight. The results also, showed the prediction of final weight depending on the body weight and body measurements are more accurate by using body measurements and body weight at the 3<sup>rd</sup> week of age as predictors of the final weight.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Pof. Dr, Firas Rashad Al-Samarai, at Department of Veterinary Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Baghdad for helping in the statistical analysis of data.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

There is no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- •Adedibu II, Ayorinde KL (2011). Sexual dimorphism in predicting body weight of two broiler strains.Nigerian J. Anim. Sci. 13: 20-31.
- •Ajayi FO, Ejiofor O, Ironkwe MO (2008). Estimation of

#### **Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences**

## **OPEN OACCESS**

Bodyweight from Body Measurements in Two Commercial Meat type Chicken. Global J. Agric. Sci. 7(1): 57–59.

- Ajayi FO, Ejiofor O (2009). Effect of genotype x sex interaction on growth and some development characteristics of Ross and Anak broiler strains in the high rainforest zone of Nigeria. Asian J. Poult. Sci. 3(2): 51–56. https://doi.org/10.3923/ ajpsaj.2009.51.56
- Al-Murrani WK (1978). Maternal effects on embryonic and post-embryonic growth in poultry. Br. Poult. Sci. 19: 277-281. https://doi.org/10.1080/00071667808416476
- Al-Nedawi AM (2018). A comparative evaluation of some functions for the analysis of growth curves inmales and females Ross 308 broilers, Indian J. Natu. Sci. 8(49): 14268-14274.
- Al-Samarai FR (2015). Growth Curve of Commercial Broiler as Predicted by Different Nonlinear Functions. Am. J. Appli. Sci. Res. 1(2): 6-9. https://doi.org/10.11648/j. ajasr.20150102.11
- Al-Samarai FR, Al-Kassie GA, Al-Nedawi AM, Al-Soudi KA-A (2008). Prediction of Total Egg Production from Partial or Cumulative Egg Production in a Stock of White Leghorn Hens in Iraq. Inter. J. Poult. Sci. 7(9): 890-893. https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2008.890.893
- Emmerson DA (1997). Commercial approaches to genetic selection for growth and feed conversion in domestic poultry. Poult. Sci. 76(8): 1121-1125. https://doi.org/10.1093/ ps/76.8.1121
- Ige AO, Salako AE, Ojedapo LO, Adedeji TA, Yakubu A, Amao SR, Animasahun AO, Amao OA (2007). Prediction of body weight on the basis of body measurements in mature indigenous chickens in derived savannah zone of Nigeria. In: Proceedings of the 32<sup>nd</sup> annual conference, Nigeria Society for Animal Production, 18-21 March, 2007, Calabar, Nigeria. Pp 185-187.
- •Ige AO, Rafiu BR, Mudasiru IT (2016). Effect of genotype

on growth traits characteristics of two commercial broiler chickens in a Derived Savannah Zone of Nigeria, Inter. J. Res. Stud. Agri. Sci. 2(6): 26-32. http://doi.org/10.20431/2454-6224.0206004

- Latshaw JD, Bishop BL (2001). Estimating body weight and body composition of chickens by using noninvasive measurements. Poult. Sci. 80: 868–873. https://doi. org/10.1093/ps/80.7.868
- •Lerner IM (1937). Shank length as a criterion of inherent size. Poult. Sci. 16: 213–215. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0160213
- Morris RH, Hessels DF, Bishop RJ (1968). The relationship between hatching egg weight and subsequent performance ofbroiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 9: 305–315. https://doi. org/10.1080/00071666808415726
- Ojo V, Fayeye TR, Ayorinde KL, Olojede H (2014). Relationship between body weight and linear body measurements in Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). J. Sci. Res. 6(1): 175-183. https://doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v6i1.16368
- Olawumi SO (2015). House X Sex Interaction Effects on Body Weight and Linear Measurements of Coturnix Quails. Anim. Vet. Sci. 3(1): 18-21. https://doi.org/10.11648/j. avs.20150301.14
- Prince SH (2002). Modeling the broiler performance under small-scales and semi commercial management condition. Dissertation, Port Elizabeth Technician, George Campus.
- Rose N, Constantin P, Leterrier C.(1996). Sex differences in bone growth of broiler chickens. Growth Dev Aging. 60(2): 49-59.
- •SAS Institute (2010). SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 9.1. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.USA.
- Tyasi TL, Qin N, Jing Y, Mu F, Zhu H-Y, Liu D, Yuan S, Xu R (2017). Assessment of relationship between body weight and body measurement traits of indigenous Chinese Dagu chickens using path analysis. Indian J. Anim. Res. 51(3): 588-593. https://doi.org/10.18805/ijar.v0iOF.6990

